




WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT

Myth Maplewood

City of Maplewood, Ramsey County,
Minnesota

AUGUST 31, 2022

PREPARED FOR:



PREPARED BY:

Westwood

Wetland Delineation Report

Myth Maplewood

City of Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota

Prepared For:

Enclave Development
1 2nd St N
Fargo, ND 58102

Prepared By:

Westwood Professional Services, Inc.
12701 Whitewater Drive
Suite 300
Minnetonka, MN 55343
(952) 937-5150

Project Number: R0037066.00

Date: August 31, 2022

Table of Contents

1.0 Purpose	1
2.0 Site Location and Description	1
3.0 Field Delineation Methodology.....	1
3.1 Mapping.....	1
3.2 Offsite Hydrology Review	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 Field Work.....	1
4.0 Results	2
4.1 Mapping.....	2
4.2 Antecedent Precipitation	2
4.3 Offsite Hydrology Review	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4 On-Site Wetland Delineation Results.....	2
5.0 Conclusions.....	3
6.0 Certification	3
7.0 Literature Cited.....	4

Tables

Table 4.1 – Soil Summary Table	2
--------------------------------------	---

Figures

Figure 4.2: Antecedent Precipitation Tool V1.0 Graph.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
---	-------------------------------------

Exhibits

- Exhibit 1: Project Location and USGS Topography
- Exhibit 2: Water Resources
- Exhibit 3: Soils
- Exhibit 4: Delineated Features & LiDAR Contours

Appendices

- Appendix A: Wetland Delineation Data Forms
- Appendix B: Wetland Delineation Photographs

1.0 Purpose

This report with the attached exhibits and appendices constitutes the wetland delineation report for the Myth Maplewood project, an approximately 2.1-acre area in the city of Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota (PIN # 022922220017). Ramsey-Washington Metro WD is the Local Governmental Unit (LGU) that administers the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) in this area. This report provides the required documentation for wetland boundary determinations in conformance with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, Waterways Experiment Station, 1987) and the Regional Supplement to the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral & Northeast Region (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2010). The Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota is included with the cover memo to this report.

2.0 Site Location and Description

The legal description of the site is located in the NW ¼ of the NE ¼ of Section 2, T29N, R22W, Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota (**Exhibit 1**). The property consists of an existing live music venue with an impervious surfaced parking lot. A portion of an incidental stormwater pond exists on the property to the South. Various commercial businesses surround the Subject Property. Topography drops toward the stormwater pond to the South.

3.0 Field Delineation Methodology

3.1 Mapping

Prior to delineating wetland boundaries in the field, the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping (**Exhibit 2**), the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) (**Exhibit 2**), the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR) Public Waters and Wetlands Inventory (PWI) (**Exhibit 2**), the Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) (**Exhibit 2**), and the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO2) for Ramsey County (**Exhibit 3**) were reviewed. Elevation mapping was completed using LiDAR contours from MnTOPO (**Exhibit 4**).

3.2 Field Work

The field delineation was conducted on August 23, 2022, by a certified wetland professional from Westwood using a level two routine determination method set forth in the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual and the supplemental methods set forth in the Regional Supplement to the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region. Data forms from the delineation are included in **Appendix A** and photographs from the delineation are included in **Appendix B**.

Wetlands, if present, were classified according to Wetlands of the United States (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Circular 39; Shaw and Fredine; 1971) and Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (FWS/OBS Publication 79/31; Cowardin et. al. 1979). Common and scientific names for vegetation identified in this report and on the attached data forms generally correspond with the nomenclature used in the 2020 National Wetland Plant List (USACE 2021). Wetland boundaries were located using a Trimble GPS unit capable of sub-meter accuracy.

4.0 Results

4.1 Mapping

NWI data mapped one PUBHx wetland within the project boundary. An NHD waterbody was also mapped and corresponds to the NWI mapped wetland. No NHD flowlines, PWI watercourses or waterbodies were mapped within the project boundary.

The NRCS SSURGO2 for Ramsey County indicates that the soils listed in **Table 4.1** are mapped within the Site (**Exhibit 3**). Based on the NRCS Web Soil Survey Hydric Rating, there is one soil unit classified as all hydric within the Delineation Area.

Table 4.1 – Soil Summary Table

Map Symbol ¹	Map Unit Name ²	Rating ²	Percent Hydric Soil ³
155C	Chetek sandy loam, 6-12% slopes	Non-hydric	0
342C	Kingsley sandy loam, 6-12% slopes	Non-hydric	0
861C	Urban land-Kingsley complex, 3-15% slopes	Non-hydric	0
1055	Aquolls and histosols, ponded	All hydric	100

¹ – Soils determined using GIS geospatial query clipping the NRCS Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO2) spatial data by Project boundaries.

² – As indicated in the SSURGO2 database.

³ – Where percentages are small (e.g., < 15 %) the hydric soil is likely an inclusion that is not recognized in the map unit name. The absence of a value does not necessarily indicate the absence of hydric soils, but that the relative percentages of included minor soils have not been determined.

4.2 Antecedent Precipitation

Antecedent precipitation data was evaluated for the 90 days prior to the site visit using the *Antecedent Precipitation Tool* (APT) developed by the USACE. Results from this data indicate antecedent precipitation was drier than normal prior to the field review. **Figure 4.2** constitutes the APT Tool results used to determine antecedent precipitation for 90 days prior to the delineation.

4.3 On-Site Wetland Delineation Results

On August 23, 2022, Westwood determined one wetland totaling 0.43 acres is present on Site. A summary of the wetland sample point characteristics is noted below. A map showing the delineated wetland and sample point locations is shown in **Exhibit 5**. Data forms documenting wetland and upland characteristics are included in Appendix A. Photos of sample point locations are included in **Appendix B**.

Wetland

WB-01 (0.43 acres) is predominantly a Type 4, PUBHx, deep marsh urban stormwater pond that includes a Type 2, PEMB, wet meadow fringe. Species of hydrophytic vegetation that were sampled were Sandbar Willow (*Salix interior*), False Nutsedge (*Cyperus strigosus*), Lesser Duckweed (*Lemna minor*), Canadian Waterweed (*Elodea canadensis*) and Dock-leaved Smartweed (*Persicaria lapathifolia*). Hydrology indicators met A1 (Surface Water), A2 (High Water Table), A3 (Saturation), B7 (Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery), B13 (Aquatic Fauna), C8 (Crayfish Burrows), D2 (Geomorphic Position) and D5 (Fac-Neutral Test). Hydric Soils met indicators A11 (Depleted Below Dark Surface), F3 (Depleted Matrix), F8 (Redox Depressions) and A16 (Coast Prairie Redox).

5.0 Conclusions

Westwood determined one wetland totaling 0.43 acres exists within the Myth Maplewood Site. Westwood requests that the LGU and the USACE review and process this report with the provided Joint Application Form and provide written concurrence that the extent of potentially jurisdictional water resources have been accurately identified. Please consider this report a formal Wetland Boundary request pursuant to Minn. Rules 8420.0405 and the CWA.

6.0 Certification

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the wetland delineation completed for this Site is consistent with current wetland delineation practices and guidelines. I have the specific qualifications, education, training, and experience to complete wetland delineations and determinations in accordance with federal and state requirements.

Sincerely,

WESTWOOD PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, INC.



Chris Mjones
Environmental Scientist
MN Certified Wetland Delineator No. 5305

7.0 Literature Cited

- Cowardin, L.M. , V.M. Carter , F.C. Golet , and E.T. LaRoe . 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Services Program, Washington, DC, USA. FWS/OBS-79/31. 103pp.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Lichvar, R.W., D.L. Banks, W.N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. 2018. The National Wetland Plant List: 2018 wetland ratings. Federal Register: 1-17. Published 18 May 2020. ISSN 2153 733X.
- Shaw, S.P. and C.G. Fredine. 1971. Wetlands of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Circular 39. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 67 pp.
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2022. Antecedent Precipitation Tool V1.0. Joseph L. Gutenson and Jason C. Deters. <https://erdc-library.erdc.dren.mil/jspui/bitstream/11681/43160/3/ERDC-TN%20WRAP-22-1.pdf>
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2021. 2020 Update of National Wetland Plant List (NWPL) https://cwbi-app.sec.usace.army.mil/nwpl_static/data/DOC/lists_2020/National/National_2020v1.pdf
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District and Minnesota Board of Water and Soils Resources. 2016. *Guidance for Offsite Hydrology/Wetland Determinations.*
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region, ed. J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-16. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2018. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2. G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

Exhibits

Myth Maplewood

City of Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota

© 2022 Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



Data Source(s): Westwood (2022); ESRI WMS World Streets and USGS Topography Basemaps (Accessed 2022), U.S. Census Bureau (2022).

Legend

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Project Area | | County Boundary |
| | Municipal Boundary | | Township Boundary |
| | State Boundary | | Section Boundary |

Myth Maplewood Project

Ramsey County, Minnesota

Project Location &
USGS Topography





EXHIBIT 1

Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



Data Source(s): Westwood (2022); NAIP (2021), FEMA (2022), NHD (2022), NWI (2022).


Legend

- Project Area
- MNDNR PWI Basin
- NHD Flowline
- NWI Wetland
- NHD Waterbody
- 100 Year Floodplain

Myth Maplewood Project


Ramsey County, Minnesota

Water Resources



Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Percent Hydric Soil	Hydric Classification
861C	Urban land-Kingsley complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	0	Non-Hydric
342C	Kingsley sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	0	Non-Hydric
155C	Chetek sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	0	Non-Hydric
1055	Aquolls and histosols, ponded	100	All Hydric

Data Source(s): Westwood (2022); ESRI WMS World Imagery Basemap (Accessed 2022), USDA Web Soil Survey (2022).

Legend


-  Project Area
-  Soil Unit Boundary
-  All Hydric Soil Unit

Myth Maplewood Project
Ramsey County, Minnesota

Soils

Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.






Data Source(s): Westwood (2022); ESRI WMS World Imagery Basemap (Accessed 2022), USDA Web Soil Survey (2022).

Legend

- Project Area
- Wetland
- Upland Sample Point
- Wetland Sample Point
- Culvert
- 10 ft Contour
- 2 ft Contour



Myth Maplewood Project

Ramsey County, Minnesota

Delineated Features &
LiDAR Contours

EXHIBIT 4

Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

Appendix A

Wetland Delineation Data Forms

Myth Maplewood

City of Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: _____ City/County: _____ Sampling Date: _____
Applicant/Owner: _____ State: _____ Sampling Point: _____
Investigator(s): _____ Section, Township, Range: _____
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): _____ Local relief (concave, convex, none): _____ Slope (%): _____
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): _____ Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u>		_____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
_____ Surface Water (A1)	_____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	_____ Drainage Patterns (B10)
_____ High Water Table (A2)	_____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_____ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
_____ Saturation (A3)	_____ Marl Deposits (B15)	_____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
_____ Water Marks (B1)	_____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	_____ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
_____ Sediment Deposits (B2)	_____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	_____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
_____ Drift Deposits (B3)	_____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	_____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
_____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	_____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	_____ Geomorphic Position (D2)
_____ Iron Deposits (B5)	_____ Thin Muck Surface (C7)	_____ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
_____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	_____ Other (Explain in Remarks)	_____ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
_____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		_____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No _____
Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____		
Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____		
Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: _____

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No _____
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)				

SOIL

Sampling Point: _____

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: _____ City/County: _____ Sampling Date: _____
Applicant/Owner: _____ State: _____ Sampling Point: _____
Investigator(s): _____ Section, Township, Range: _____
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): _____ Local relief (concave, convex, none): _____ Slope (%): _____
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): _____ Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _____ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u>		____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
____ Surface Water (A1)	____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	____ Drainage Patterns (B10)
____ High Water Table (A2)	____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	____ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
____ Saturation (A3)	____ Marl Deposits (B15)	____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
____ Water Marks (B1)	____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	____ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
____ Sediment Deposits (B2)	____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
____ Drift Deposits (B3)	____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	____ Geomorphic Position (D2)
____ Iron Deposits (B5)	____ Thin Muck Surface (C7)	____ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	____ Other (Explain in Remarks)	____ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No _____
Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____		
Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____		
Saturation Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: _____

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No _____
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)				

SOIL

Sampling Point: _____

[illegible]

Appendix B



Wetland Delineation Photographs

Myth Maplewood
City of Maplewood, Ramsey County, Minnesota

Myth Maplewood Delineation Site Photograph

Westwood


Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



Myth Maplewood Delineation Site Photograph

Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



Myth Maplewood Delineation Site Photograph

Westwood

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com
Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

WB-01 wet

